#### 1 BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 2 **COMMISSIONERS** 3 MARC SPITZER, Chairman WILLIAM A. MUNDELL 4 JEFF HATCH-MILLER MIKE GLEASON 5 KRISTIN K. MAYES 6 In the matter of: DOCKET NO. S-03500A-04-0000 JAMES L. OPPENHEIMER, AND SYDNEY J. NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR **HEARING** REGARDING **PROPOSED** OPPENHEIMER, husband and wife, 695 W. Bear Claw Lane ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST, **FOR** RESTITUTION, Pinetop, AZ 85935 ORDER FOR 9 Respondents. ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTIES **AND** FOR OTHER AFFIRMATIVE ACTION 10 NOTICE: EACH RESPONDENT HAS 10 DAYS TO REQUEST A HEARING 11 EACH RESPONDENT HAS 30 DAYS TO FILE AN ANSWER 12 The Securities Division ("Division") of the Arizona Corporation Commission 13 ("Commission") alleges that RESPONDENTS engaged in acts, practices and transactions, which 14 constitute violations of the Securities Act of Arizona, A.R.S. § 44-1801 et seq. ("Securities Act"). 15 I. 16 JURISDICTION 17 1. The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona 18 Constitution, and the Securities Act. 19 II. 20 RESPONDENTS 21 2. JOHN L. OPPENHEIMER, ("RESPONDENT"), resides at 695 W. Bear Claw Lane, 22 Pinetop, AZ 85935. 23 3. SYDNEY J. OPPENHEIMER was at all relevant times the spouse of JOHN L. 24 OPPENHEIMER. SYDNEY J. OPPENHEIMER is joined in this action under A.R.S. § 44-25 2031(C) solely for purposes of determining the liability of the marital community. 26

1

2

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

1	12. RESPONDENT never disclosed to FFSC his association with MBC or that he was offering
2	for sale and selling viatical settlement contracts.
3	13. On June 27, 2002, FFSC permitted RESPONDENT to resign for violating FFSC's selling
4	away policies and for selling viatical settlement contracts.
5	IV.
6	VIOLATION OF A.R.S. § 44-1841
7	(Offer or Sale of Unregistered Securities)
8	14. From on or about April, 1999, RESPONDENT offered or sold securities in the form of
9	viatical settlement contracts, within or from Arizona.
10	15. The securities referred to above were not registered pursuant to the provisions of Articles 6
11	or 7 of the Securities Act.N:\ENFORCE\CASES\Oppenheimer.ph\PLEADING\Notice of
12	Opportunity Oppenheimer.doc
13	16. This conduct violates A.R.S. § 44-1841.
14	
15	V.
16	REQUESTED RELIEF
17	The Division requests that the Commission grant the following relief against
18	RESPONDENTS:
19	1. Order RESPONDENT to permanently cease and desist from violating the Securities
20	Act, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032;
21	2. Order RESPONDENT to take affirmative action to correct the conditions resulting
22	from his acts, practices or transactions, including a requirement to pay restitution in the amount of
23	\$217,573.00, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032;
24	
25	
26	
	3

3. Order RESPONDENT to pay the state of Arizona administrative penalties of up to five thousand dollars (\$5,000) for each violation of the Securities Act, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2036;

- 4. Order that the marital communities of JOHN L. OPPENHEIMER, and SYDNEY J. OPPENHEIMER be subject to any order of restitution, rescission, administrative penalties, or other appropriate affirmative action pursuant to A.R.S. § 25-215; and
  - 5. Order any other relief that the Commission deems appropriate.

## VI.

### HEARING OPPORTUNITY

RESPONDENT including RESPONDENT SPOUSE may request a hearing pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1972 and A.A.C. R14-4-306. If any RESPONDENT requests a hearing, the RESPONDENT must also answer this Notice. A request for hearing must be in writing and received by the Commission within 10 business days after service of this Notice of Opportunity for Hearing. Each RESPONDENT must deliver or mail the request to Docket Control, Arizona Corporation Commission, 1200 W. Washington, Phoenix, Arizona 85007. A Docket Control cover sheet must accompany the request. A cover sheet form and instructions may be obtained from Docket Control by calling (602) 542-3477 or on the Commission's Internet web site at <a href="https://www.cc.state.az.us/utility/forms/index.htm">www.cc.state.az.us/utility/forms/index.htm</a>.

If a request for a hearing is timely made, the Commission shall schedule the hearing to begin 20 to 60 days from the receipt of the request unless otherwise provided by law, stipulated by the parties, or ordered by the Commission. If a request for a hearing is not timely made, the Commission may, without a hearing, enter an order against each RESPONDENT granting the relief requested by the Division in this Notice of Opportunity for Hearing.

arrange the accommodation.

### VII.

Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation such as a sign language

interpreter, as well as request this document in an alternative format, by contacting Yvonne L. McFarlin, Executive Assistant to the Executive Secretary, voice phone number 602/542-3931, e-

mail ymcfarlin@cc.state.az.us. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to

# ANSWER REQUIREMENT

Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-4-305, if any RESPONDENT or RESPONDENT SPOUSE requests a hearing, RESPONDENT or RESPONDENT SPOUSE must deliver or mail an Answer to this Notice of Opportunity for Hearing to Docket Control, Arizona Corporation Commission, 1200 W. Washington, Phoenix, Arizona 85007, within 30 calendar days after the date of service of this Notice of Opportunity for Hearing. A Docket Control cover sheet must accompany the Answer. A cover sheet form and instructions may be obtained from Docket Control by calling (602) 542-3477 or on the Commission's Internet web site at <a href="https://www.cc.state.az.us/utility/forms/index.htm">www.cc.state.az.us/utility/forms/index.htm</a>.

Additionally, RESPONDENT or RESPONDENT SPOUSE must serve the Answer upon the Division. Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-4-303, service upon the Division may be made by mailing or by hand-delivering a copy of the Answer to the Division at 1300 West Washington, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Phoenix, Arizona, 85007, addressed to Ella G. Johnson, Attorney Enforcement Section.

The Answer shall contain an admission or denial of each allegation in this Notice and the original signature of each RESPONDENT, RESPONDENT SPOUSE or RESPONDENT's attorney. A statement of a lack of sufficient knowledge or information shall be considered a denial of an allegation. An allegation not denied shall be considered admitted.

When RESPONDENT or RESPONDENT SPOUSE intends in good faith to deny only a part or a qualification of an allegation, RESPONDENT or RESPONDENT SPOUSE shall specify that part or qualification of the allegation and shall admit the remainder. RESPONDENT or RESPONDENT SPOUSE waives any affirmative defense not raised in the answer. The officer presiding over the hearing may grant relief from the requirement to file an Answer for good cause shown. Dated this <u>28</u> day of <u>September</u>, 2004. \_\_/s/ Matthew Neubert Matthew Neubert Director of Securities 

Docket No. S-03500A-04-0000